

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
DALLAS DIVISION**

SENTRICK SHERN WILKERSON, 10093432, Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
	)	
v.	)	No. 3:13-CV-1326-M
	)	
CRAIG M. WATKINS, Defendant.	)	
	)	

**FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION  
OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

This cause of action was referred to the United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to the provisions of Title 28, United States Code, Section 636(b), as implemented by an order of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas. The Findings, Conclusions and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge follow:

Plaintiff, an inmate confined in the Dallas County Jail, filed this complaint pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff seeks leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* under the provision of 28 U.S.C. § 1915. The Court finds Plaintiff's motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* should be denied.

Title 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g) provides:

In no event shall a prisoner bring a civil action or appeal a judgment in a civil action or proceeding under this section if the prisoner has, on 3 or more prior occasions, while incarcerated or detained in any facility, brought an action or appeal in a court of the United States that was dismissed on the grounds that it is frivolous, malicious, or fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, unless the prisoner is under imminent danger of serious physical injury.

Plaintiff has filed numerous previous actions in federal court. At least three of these cases were filed while Plaintiff was incarcerated and were dismissed as frivolous. *See Wilkerson*

*v. Dallas Police Dept.*, 3:12-CV-1832-K (N.D. Tex. dismissed July 12, 2012); *Wilkerson v. Grona-Robb*, 3:11-CV-1242-N (N.D. Tex. dismissed November 10, 2011); *Wilkerson v. State of Texas*, 3:12-CV-1830-L (N.D. Tex. dismissed July 31, 2012). Additionally, Plaintiff's complaint does not show that he is imminent danger of serious physical injury. The Court recommends that Plaintiff's motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* be DENIED.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

For the foregoing reasons, the Court recommends that the District Court deny Plaintiff leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). The Court further recommends that the District Court dismiss this action pursuant to § 1915(g), unless Plaintiff tenders the \$350.00 filing fee to the District Clerk within fourteen (14) days of the filing of this recommendation.

Signed this 5<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2013.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
PAUL D. STICKNEY  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

**INSTRUCTIONS FOR SERVICE AND  
NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL/OBJECT**

A copy of this report and recommendation shall be served on all parties in the manner provided by law. Any party who objects to any part of this report and recommendation must file specific written objections within 14 days after being served with a copy. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b). In order to be specific, an objection must identify the specific finding or recommendation to which objection is made, state the basis for the objection, and specify the place in the magistrate judge's report and recommendation where the disputed determination is found. An objection that merely incorporates by reference or refers to the briefing before the magistrate judge is not specific. Failure to file specific written objections will bar the aggrieved party from appealing the factual findings and legal conclusions of the magistrate judge that are accepted or adopted by the district court, except upon grounds of plain error. *See Douglass v. United Services Automobile Ass'n*, 79 F.3d 1415, 1417 (5th Cir. 1996).